DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

ET Docket No. 98-80
RECEIVED
JUL 2 7 1998 PEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

COMMENTS OF INLINE CONNECTION CORPORATION

Terry G. Mahn, Esq. Keith A. Barritt, Esq. FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 601 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Counsel for Inline Connection Corporation

July 27, 1998

No. of Copies rec'd

List ABCDE

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

)	
In the Matter of)	
)	
1998 Biennial Regulatory Review)	
Conducted Emissions Limits Below)	ET Docket No. 98-80
30 MHz for Equipment Regulated)	
Under Parts 15 and 18 of the)	
Commission's Rules)	
)	
)	

To: The Commission

COMMENTS OF INLINE CONNECTION CORPORATION

Inline Connection Corporation ("Inline"), by its counsel, hereby submits these comments in response to the Commission's Notice of Inquiry, FCC 98-102 (released June 8, 1998) ("NOI"). Inline is the developer of a TV interface device that is designed to amplify and transmit the signals from a video cassette recorder or cable television receiver over in-building telephone lines to remote television sets. In connection with the certification of Inline's device, the Commission required Inline to perform radiated measurement testing below 30 MHz because the device also operates as an unintentionally radiating carrier current system. Inline is submitting these comments in response to the Commission's open solicitation to comment on changes needed in the regulations governing carrier current systems. NOI at ¶ 14.

I. Introduction

Section 15.109(e) of the Commission's rules requires carrier current systems used as unintentional radiators or "other unintentional radiators that are designed to conduct their radio frequency emissions via connecting wires or cables and that operate in the frequency range of 9 kHz to 30 MHz" to comply with the radiated emissions limits below 30 MHz for intentional radiators set forth under Section 15.209. Because the definition of unintentional radiator includes any device that "sends radio frequency signals by conduction to associated equipment via connected wiring," Section 15.109(e) technically encompasses virtually all telecommunications equipment and local area network ("LAN") products that conduct data signals over the in-building telephone or other wiring on a customer's premises. 2/

To Inline's knowledge, the Commission has never enforced Section 15.109(e) with respect to LAN products, although Commission officials have indicated to Inline that such enforcement remains an option that has been "under study." However, the Commission specifically required Inline to perform radiated emissions tests below 30 MHz when it certified its TV interface device in 1993, despite the fact that the Inline device operated identically to many types of LAN products that also send signals over in-building twisted pair wires but which are not required to test below 30 MHz.³/

¹/₂ 47 C.F.R. § 15.3(z).

Standard voice telephony equipment, such as standard dial-up modems, are not captured by the language of Section 15.109(e) because such voice signals are generally conducted at frequencies below 9 kHz (typically 3 kHz).

See FCC ID #JRETRX827 certification file and letter of August 15, 1991 from Inline counsel to Mr. Edward Gibbons of the FCC Laboratories memorializing the requirement to test Inline's device for emissions below 30 MHz (Exhibit 1 hereto).

As described herein, such continued selective enforcement of Section 15.109(e) would be arbitrary and capricious, as there are no regulatory distinctions between Inline's device and LAN equipment. The Commission should therefore take this opportunity to clarify either that the radiated emissions limits under 15.109(e) apply only to systems that utilize electric power lines, or, at a minimum, that the limits apply to all devices regardless of the type of "wire or cable" utilized. The current situation permitting unequal treatment of similarly situated devices must not be allowed to continue.

II. The Commission Did Not Intend for Section 15.109(e) to Apply to All Wireline Devices

Based on the history of Part 15, it appears the Commission intended Section 15.109(e) to apply only to carrier current devices or other unintentional radiators conducting signals over <u>electric</u> power lines. Prior to 1976, there were no carrier current applications other than those that used the electric power lines. In 1976 the Commission proposed to define a carrier current system as a system in which "a restricted radiation device transmits RF energy over wires, or any other conductor, to a receiving device connected to the same conductor or system of conductors." That proposed definition clearly would have included systems that conducted signals over in-building twisted pair wiring. In the 1989 Part 15 rewrite, however, the Commission rejected that all-encompassing concept, narrowing the

See Amendment of Part 15 Rules to Redefine and Clarify the Rules Governing Restricted Radiation Devices and Low Power Communication Devices, Docket No. 20780, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 62 FCC 2d 666 (1976), 41 Fed. Reg. 17938, at proposed § 15.4(n).

definition of carrier current to systems that conduct RF energy specifically over electric power lines only.⁵/

In discussing Section 15.109(e), the Commission specified that it was setting the limit at 30 MHz for unintentional radiators "as this corresponds to the frequency range specified for AC power line conducted emissions. . . . Below 30 MHz, only limits on the amount of radio frequency energy conducted onto the AC power lines apply to most devices." Thus, the intent of Section 15.109(e) is to control emissions conducted onto the electric power lines, and not simply emissions conducted onto any "wire or cable." Given this administrative history, there is no rationale for treating either Inline's device or LAN equipment, neither of which utilize electric power lines, as carrier current devices subject to radiated emissions limits below 30 MHz.

III. Application of Section 15.109(e) to All Wireline Devices is Impractical and Contrary to the Public Interest

The Commission has always viewed the application of radiated emissions limits to carrier current systems operating below 30 MHz primarily as a means to protect AM broadcasts from signals intentionally (and to a lesser degree, unintentionally) radiated off the electric power line, which carrier current systems essentially use as an antenna. However,

⁵/ <u>See</u> 47 C.F.R. § 15.3(f).

Evision of Part 15 of the Rules regarding the Operation of Radio Frequency Devices without an Individual License, Gen. Docket No. 87-389, First Report and Order, 66 RR2d 295, 313, ¶ 81 n.38, 54 Fed. Reg. 17710 (1989) (emphasis added).

² See, e.g., Amendment to Part 15 to Enable the Widespread Implementation of Home Automation and Communication Technology, ET Docket No. 91-269, 6 FCC Rcd 5409, 5410 (1991), at ¶ 7.

all devices that utilize telephone wiring or other cabling to communicate signals within buildings are required to meet <u>conducted</u> limits below 30 MHz. This has been sufficient to protect other system users, as seen by the lack of interference complaints from the tens of thousands of LAN cards on the market. Thus, formally eliminating the requirement to test for radiated emissions for non-powerline devices operating below 30 MHz would not result in any increased interference, whereas the cost of compliance with Section 15.109(e) for the many non-powerline products on the market would far outweigh the benefits to be achieved.

IV. Conclusion

Section 15.109(e) has been interpreted inconsistently and arbitrarily by the Commission leading to confusion among manufacturers and users. To address this inherent unfairness, the Commission should either amend Section 15.109(e) to clarify that it applies only to unintentional radiators that utilize electric power lines for sending signals, or by specifying that it applies to all devices regardless of what type of wire or cable they use. The current situation is unmanageable, as it invites the abuse of discretion by Commission personnel in the selective enforcement of Section 15.109(e). Whatever path the Commission chooses, the result should be that all similarly situated devices, such as Inline's device and LAN equipment, are subject to the same regulatory treatment.

Respectfully submitted

Terry G. Mahn, Esq.

Keith A. Barritt, Esq.

Fish & Richardson P.C.

601 13th Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005

Counsel for Inline Connection Corporation

July 27, 1998

88912.W11